ICK7GRA1 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, New York, N.Y. 4 16 CR 468 (GHW) V. 5 JAMES GRANT and JEREMY REICHBERG, 6 Defendants. 7 -----x 8 December 20, 2018 9 9:05 a.m. 10 Before: 11 HON. GREGORY H. WOODS, 12 District Judge 13 14 **APPEARANCES** 15 GEOFFREY S. BERMAN United States Attorney for the 16 Southern District of New York BY: JESSICA R. LONERGAN 17 KIMBERLY J. RAVENER MARTIN BELL 18 Assistant United States Attorneys 19 HAFETZ & NECHELES, LLP Attorneys for Defendant Reichberg 20 BY: SUSAN NECHELES 21 MERINGOLO & ASSOCIATES Attorneys for Defendant Grant 22 BY: JOHN MERINGOLO ANJELICA CAPPELLINO 23 24 25

ICKBGRA2

Charge

involved was different. The law requires only a substantial similarity between the dates alleged in the indictment and the dates established by the evidence or the amounts alleged in the indictment and the amounts established by the evidence.

I note, however, that two of the sums of money mentioned in the indictment, that is the \$10,000 or more in federal benefits received by the NYPD and the \$5,000 or more of transactional value which I previously instructed you about, are elements of the crimes charged in Counts Three, Four and Five. If you find that the government has not proven those amounts beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must find that the defendants are not guilty on those counts.

Redaction of evidentiary items.

Among the exhibits received in evidence, there are some documents that are redacted. "Redacted" means that part of the document or tape was taken out. You are to concern yourself only with that part of the item that has been admitted into evidence. You should not consider any possible reason why the other part of it has been redacted.

Persons not on trial or not indicted.

Some of the people who may have been involved in the events leading to this trial are not on trial. That does not matter. There is no requirement that everyone involved in a crime be charged and prosecuted, or tried together, in the same proceeding.

You may not draw any inference, favorable or unfavorable, toward the government or the defendant, from the fact that certain persons other than the defendants were not named as defendants in the indictment or are not on trial here. Nor may you speculate as to the reasons why other persons were not named in the indictment or are not on trial. Those matters must play no part in your deliberations.

Whether a person should be indicted as a defendant in this case or another separate case is a matter within the sole discretion of the United States Attorney and the grand jury.

Therefore, you may not consider it in any way in reaching your verdict as to either defendant.

Uncalled witnesses -- equally available or unavailable.

There are several persons whose names you have heard during the course of the trial but who did not appear here to testify.

I instruct you that each party had the same power to subpoena witnesses to testify on their behalf. Therefore, you should not draw any inference or reach any conclusions as to what they would have testified to had they been called. Their absence should not affect your judgment in any way.

You should, however, remember my instruction that the law does not impose on a defendant in a criminal case the burden or duty of calling any witnesses or producing any